

# CANTOR SYSTEMS, PIECEWISE TRANSLATIONS AND SIMPLE AMENABLE GROUPS

KATE JUSCHENKO AND NICOLAS MONOD

ABSTRACT. We provide the first examples of finitely generated simple groups that are amenable (and infinite). This follows from a general existence result on invariant states for piecewise-translations of the integers. The states are obtained by constructing a suitable family of densities on the classical Bernoulli space.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A *Cantor system*  $(T, C)$  is a homeomorphism  $T$  of the Cantor space  $C$ ; it is called *minimal* if  $T$  admits no proper invariant closed subset. The *topological full group*  $[[T]]$  of a Cantor system is the group of all homeomorphisms of  $C$  which are given piecewise by powers of  $T$ , each piece being open in  $C$ . This countable group is a complete invariant of flip-conjugacy for  $(T, C)$  by a result of Giordano–Putnam–Skau [GPS99, Cor. 4.4].

It turns out that this construction yields very interesting groups  $[[T]]$ . Indeed, Matui proved that the commutator subgroup of  $[[T]]$  is simple for any minimal Cantor system, see Theorem 4.9 in [Mat06] and the remark preceding it. Moreover, he showed that this simple group is finitely generated if and only if  $(T, C)$  is (conjugated to) a minimal subshift. This yields a new uncountable family of non-isomorphic finitely generated simple groups since subshifts can be distinguished by their entropy; see [Mat06, p. 246].

Until now, no example of finitely generated simple group that is *amenable* (and infinite) was known. Grigorchuk–Medynets [GM] have proved that the topological full group  $[[T]]$  of a minimal Cantor system  $(T, C)$  is locally approximable by finite groups in the Chabauty topology. They conjectured that  $[[T]]$  is amenable; our first result confirms this conjecture.

**Theorem A.** *The topological full group of any minimal Cantor system is amenable.*

Surprisingly, this statement fails as soon as one allows two commuting homeomorphisms [EM].

Combining Theorem A with the above-mentioned results from [GPS99, Mat06] we deduce:

**Corollary B.** *There exist finitely generated simple groups that are infinite amenable. In fact, there are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  non-isomorphic such groups.*  $\square$

In order to prove Theorem A, we reformulate the problem in terms of the group  $W(\mathbf{Z})$  of *piecewise-translations* of the integers. More precisely, we denote by  $W(\mathbf{Z})$  the group of all those bijections  $g$  of  $\mathbf{Z}$  for which the quantity

$$|g|_w := \sup \{|g(j) - j| : j \in \mathbf{Z}\}$$

is finite. The topological full group of any minimal Cantor system  $(T, C)$  can be embedded into  $W(\mathbf{Z})$  by identifying a  $T$ -orbit with  $\mathbf{Z}$ . However,  $W(\mathbf{Z})$  also contains many other groups,

---

Work supported in part by the European Research Council and the Swiss National Science Foundation.

including non-abelian free groups. This fact can be traced back to Schreier's 1927 proof of the residual finiteness of free groups, see § 2 in [Sch27] (or [vD90] for a more modern viewpoint).

We shall introduce a model for random finite subsets of  $\mathbf{Z}$  which has the following two properties: (i) the model is almost-invariant under shifts by piecewise-translations; (ii) a random finite set contains 0 with overwhelming probability. Theorem A is proved using a general result about  $W(\mathbf{Z})$  which has the following equivalent reformulation.

**Theorem C.** *The  $W(\mathbf{Z})$ -action on the collection of finite sets of integers admits an invariant mean which gives full weight to the collection of sets containing 0.*

Notice that for any given finite set  $E \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$ , a mean as in Theorem C will give full weight to the collection of sets containing  $E$ .

**Acknowledgements.** Part of this work was done when the authors enjoyed the hospitality of the Mittag-Leffler institute. We are indebted to G. Elek for inspiring conversations. We thank Y. de Cornulier and J. Peterson for useful comments on an earlier draft. The possibility that topological full groups of minimal Cantor systems could be amenable was suggested by R. Grigorchuk and K. Medynets.

## 2. SEMI-DENSITIES ON THE BERNOULLI SHIFT

The technical core of our construction is a family of  $L^2$ -functions  $f_n$  on the classical Bernoulli space  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbf{Z}}$ . The relevance of these functions will be explained in Section 3.

For any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , we define

$$f_n: \{0, 1\}^{\mathbf{Z}} \longrightarrow (0, 1], \quad f_n(x) = \exp \left( -n \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} x_j e^{-|j|/n} \right),$$

where  $x = \{x_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbf{Z}}$ . We consider  $f_n$  as an element of the Hilbert space  $L^2(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbf{Z}})$ , where  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbf{Z}}$  is endowed with the symmetric Bernoulli measure. The interest of the family  $f_n$  is that it satisfies the following two properties, each of which would be elementary to obtain separately.

**Theorem 2.1.** *For any  $g \in W(\mathbf{Z})$  we have  $\langle g(f_n), f_n \rangle / \|f_n\|^2 \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover,  $\|f_n|_{x_0=0}\| / \|f_n\| \rightarrow 1$ .*

The notation  $f_n|_{x_0=0}$  represents the function  $f_n$  multiplied by the characteristic function of the cylinder set describing the elementary event  $x_0 = 0$ .

In preparation for the proof, we write

$$a_{n,j} = \exp(-n e^{-|j|/n}) \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

We shall often use implicitly the estimates

$$0 < a_{n,j} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \frac{a_{n,j}^2}{1 + a_{n,j}^2} \leq a_{n,j}^2 \leq a_{n,j}.$$

Since  $f_n$  is a product of the independent random variables  $\exp(-n x_j e^{-|j|/n})$ , we have

$$\|f_n\|^2 = \prod_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} a_{n,j}^2 \right).$$

A straightforward estimate shows that this product converges unconditionally (in the sense that the series of  $\log\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}a_{n,j}^2\right)$  converges absolutely). We can regroup factors and compute the ratio

$$\frac{\|f_n|_{x_0=0}\|^2}{\|f_n\|^2} = \frac{1}{1 + a_{n,0}^2}$$

which thus converges to 1 as desired for the second statement of Theorem 2.1.

The proof of the first statement will be divided into two propositions. Define the function  $F_n: W(\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by

$$F_n(g) = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{a_{n,j}^2}{1 + a_{n,j}^2} e^{-|j|/n} \left( |g(j)| - |j| \right).$$

We begin with a conditional convergence:

**Proposition 2.2.** *For any  $g \in W(\mathbf{Z})$  we have  $\langle g(f_n), f_n \rangle / \|f_n\|^2 \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  provided  $F_n(g) \rightarrow 0$ .*

The condition  $F_n(g) \rightarrow 0$  is about a *signed* series for which no absolute convergence to zero holds; it will be addressed by the following statement:

**Proposition 2.3.** *We have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(g) = 0$  for every  $g \in W(\mathbf{Z})$ .*

We now undertake the proof of Proposition 2.2. Using again the product form of  $f_n$ , one obtains

$$\frac{\langle g(f_n), f_n \rangle}{\|f_n\|^2} = \prod_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{1 + a_{n,j} a_{n,g(j)}}{1 + a_{n,j}^2}.$$

Thus  $\langle g(f_n), f_n \rangle / \|f_n\|^2 \rightarrow 1$  if and only if

$$(2.i) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \log \frac{1 + a_{n,j} a_{n,g(j)}}{1 + a_{n,j}^2} = 0.$$

Next, we point out the elementary fact that there is an absolute constant  $C > 0$  (namely  $C = 4 \log 2 - 2$ ) such that

$$(2.ii) \quad z - Cz^2 \leq \log(1 + z) \leq z \quad \forall z \geq -\frac{1}{2}.$$

We can apply this inequality to each summand of the series in (2.i) by writing

$$\frac{1 + a_{n,j} a_{n,g(j)}}{1 + a_{n,j}^2} = 1 + \frac{a_{n,j}^2}{1 + a_{n,j}^2} \left( \frac{a_{n,g(j)}}{a_{n,j}} - 1 \right)$$

because  $0 < a_{n,j} \leq 1$  for all  $n$  and  $j$  implies

$$\frac{a_{n,j}^2}{1 + a_{n,j}^2} \left( \frac{a_{n,g(j)}}{a_{n,j}} - 1 \right) \geq -\frac{a_{n,j}^2}{1 + a_{n,j}^2} \geq -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore, summing up the inequalities given by (2.ii), we conclude that Proposition 2.2 will follow once we prove the following two facts:

$$(2.iii) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \left( \frac{a_{n,j}^2}{1 + a_{n,j}^2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{a_{n,g(j)}}{a_{n,j}} - 1 \right)^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall g \in W(\mathbf{Z}),$$

$$(2.\text{iv}) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{a_{n,j}^2}{1 + a_{n,j}^2} \left( \frac{a_{n,g(j)}}{a_{n,j}} - 1 \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall g \in W(\mathbf{Z}) \quad \text{provided} \quad F_n(g) \rightarrow 0.$$

Here is our first lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.** *For all  $n$  we have*

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} a_{n,j} e^{-|j|/n} \leq 3 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} a_{n,j}^2 e^{-2|j|/n} \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

It is based on the following elementary comparison argument.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $t_0 \geq 0$  and let  $\varphi: \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$  be a function which is increasing on  $[0, t_0]$  and decreasing on  $[t_0, \infty)$ . Then*

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi(j) \leq \varphi(t_0) + \int_0^\infty \varphi(t) dt. \quad \square$$

*Proof of Lemma 2.4.* For the first series, we consider the function  $\varphi$  defined by  $\varphi(t) = \exp(-ne^{-t/n})e^{-t/n}$ . One verifies that it satisfies the condition of Lemma 2.5 for  $t_0 = n \log n$ . Therefore we can estimate

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} a_{n,j} e^{-|j|/n} < 2 \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi(j) \leq 2e^{-1}/n + 2 \int_0^\infty \exp(-ne^{-t/n})e^{-t/n} dt.$$

The change of variable  $s = e^{-t/n}$  shows that the integral is  $\int_0^1 ne^{-ns} ds = 1 - e^{-n}$  and thus in particular the series is bounded by  $2(e^{-1} + 1) < 3$ . For the second series, consider  $\varphi(t) = \exp(-2ne^{-t/n})e^{-2t/n}$ , again with  $t_0 = n \log n$ . Lemma 2.5 yields

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} a_{n,j}^2 e^{-2|j|/n} < 2 \sum_{j \geq 0} \varphi(j) \leq 2(ne)^{-2} + 2 \int_0^\infty \exp(-2ne^{-t/n})e^{-2t/n} dt.$$

The change of variable  $s = e^{-t/n}$  shows that the integral is

$$\int_0^1 ne^{-2ns} s ds = \frac{1 - (1 + 2n)e^{-2n}}{4n} < \frac{1}{4n}$$

and thus in particular the series is bounded by  $2(ne)^{-2} + 1/(2n) < 1/n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *For any  $g \in W(\mathbf{Z})$  there are constants  $C_g$ ,  $C'_g$  and  $C''_g$  which depend only on  $|g|_w$  such that for all  $n$  and  $j$  we have:*

$$(2.\text{v}) \quad \frac{a_{n,g(j)}}{a_{n,j}} = \exp \left( e^{-\frac{|j|}{n}} (|g(j)| - |j| + \eta(g, j, n)) \right), \quad \text{where } |\eta(g, j, n)| \leq C_g/n.$$

$$(2.\text{vi}) \quad \frac{a_{n,g(j)}}{a_{n,j}} - 1 = e^{-\frac{|j|}{n}} (|g(j)| - |j|) + \eta(g, n, j) e^{-\frac{|j|}{n}} + \vartheta(g, n, j),$$

$$\text{where } |\vartheta(g, n, j)| \leq C'_g e^{-2\frac{|j|}{n}}.$$

$$(2.\text{vii}) \quad \left| \frac{a_{n,g(j)}}{a_{n,j}} - 1 \right| \leq C''_g e^{-\frac{|j|}{n}}.$$

*Proof.* Note that the conclusion (2.vii) is an easy consequence of (2.v) and (2.vi). From the definition of  $a_{n,j}$  we have

$$\frac{a_{n,g(j)}}{a_{n,j}} = \exp \left( e^{-\frac{|j|}{n}} n \left( 1 - e^{\frac{|j|-|g(j)|}{n}} \right) \right).$$

Then using the Taylor expansion we have

$$n \left( 1 - e^{\frac{|j|-|g(j)|}{n}} \right) = |g(j)| - |j| + \eta(g, j, n),$$

wherein

$$\eta(g, j, n) := - \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{(|j| - |g(j)|)^k}{k! n^{k-1}}.$$

Now

$$|\eta(g, j, n)| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{|g|_w^k}{k!} \leq \frac{e^{|g|_w}}{n}$$

which proves (2.v). Continuing to expand (2.v), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_{n,g(j)}}{a_{n,j}} - 1 &= \exp \left( e^{-\frac{|j|}{n}} (|g(j)| - |j| + \eta(g, j, n)) \right) - 1 = \\ &= e^{-\frac{|j|}{n}} (|g(j)| - |j|) + e^{-\frac{|j|}{n}} \eta(g, j, n) + \vartheta(g, j, n) \end{aligned}$$

wherein

$$\vartheta(g, j, n) := \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{k!} e^{-\frac{k|j|}{n}} (|g(j)| - |j| + \eta(g, j, n))^k.$$

Thus we have

$$|\vartheta(g, j, n)| \leq e^{-\frac{2|j|}{n}} \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{k!} \left| |g(j)| - |j| + \eta(g, j, n) \right|^k \leq e^{-\frac{2|j|}{n}} \exp \left( |g|_w + \frac{C_g}{n} \right) \leq e^{-\frac{2|j|}{n}} C'_g,$$

as required for (2.vi).  $\square$

*End of the proof of Proposition 2.2.* Recall that we have reduced the proof to showing (2.iii) and (2.iv). By Lemma 2.6(2.vii) and Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \left( \frac{a_{n,j}^2}{1 + a_{n,j}^2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{a_{n,g(j)}}{a_{n,j}} - 1 \right)^2 \leq C_g'^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} a_{n,j}^4 e^{-2\frac{|j|}{n}} \leq C_g'^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} a_{n,j}^2 e^{-2\frac{|j|}{n}} \leq C_g'^2 / n,$$

which implies the convergence (2.iii). For (2.iv), keep the notations of Lemma 2.6. By point (2.vi) of that lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{a_{n,j}^2}{1 + a_{n,j}^2} \left( \frac{a_{n,g(j)}}{a_{n,j}} - 1 \right) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{a_{n,j}^2}{1 + a_{n,j}^2} e^{-\frac{|j|}{n}} (|g(j)| - |j|) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{a_{n,j}^2}{1 + a_{n,j}^2} e^{-\frac{|j|}{n}} \eta(g, j, n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{a_{n,j}^2}{1 + a_{n,j}^2} \vartheta(g, j, n) \end{aligned}$$

and we recall that the first of the three terms is  $F_n(g)$ , which is assumed to go to zero. For the second term, since  $|\eta(g, j, n)| \leq C_g/n$ , Lemma 2.4 gives

$$\left| \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{a_{n,j}^2}{1 + a_{n,j}^2} e^{-\frac{|j|}{n}} \eta(g, j, n) \right| \leq \frac{C_g}{n} \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} a_{n,j} e^{-\frac{|j|}{n}} \leq \frac{3C_g}{n}.$$

For the last term, since  $|\vartheta(g, j, n)| \leq C'_g e^{-2\frac{|j|}{n}}$ , Lemma 2.4 implies

$$\left| \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{a_{n,j}^2}{1 + a_{n,j}^2} \vartheta(g, j, n) \right| \leq C'_g \sum_{j \in \mathbf{Z}} a_{n,j}^2 e^{-2\frac{|j|}{n}} \leq \frac{C'_g}{n}.$$

This completes the proof of (2.iv) and therefore of the proposition.  $\square$

In order to apply Proposition 2.2, we need to control  $F_n$  as stated in Proposition 2.3. Let thus  $g \in W(\mathbf{Z})$  be given; writing

$$b_0 = |g(0)|, \quad \text{and} \quad b_j = |g(j)| + |g(-j)| - (|j| + |-j|) \quad \text{for } j > 0,$$

we have

$$F_n(g) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,j}^2}{1 + a_{n,j}^2} e^{-j/n} b_j$$

since  $a_{n,j} = a_{n,-j}$ . Define functions  $B$  and  $\psi$  on  $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$  by

$$B(t) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq t} b_j, \quad \psi(t) = \frac{\exp(-2ne^{-t/n})}{1 + \exp(-2ne^{-t/n})} e^{-t/n}.$$

Then the Abel summation formula gives

$$(2.viii) \quad \sum_{j=0}^N \psi(j) b_j = \psi(N) B(N) - \int_0^N B(t) d\psi(t). \quad (\forall N \in \mathbf{N})$$

**Lemma 2.7.** *We have  $-2|g|_{\mathbf{w}}^2 \leq B(u) \leq 4|g|_{\mathbf{w}}^2$  for all  $u > |g|_{\mathbf{w}}$ .*

*Proof.* For simplicity, write  $c := |g|_{\mathbf{w}}$  and  $J_u := \{j : |j| \leq u\}$ . Thus  $B(u) = \sum_{j \in g(J_u)} |j| - \sum_{j \in J_u} |j|$ . Since  $J_{u-c} \subseteq g(J_u)$ , we have

$$(2.ix) \quad B(u) = \sum_{j \in g(J_u)} |j| - \sum_{j \in J_u} |j| = \sum_{j \in g(J_u) \setminus J_{u-c}} |j| - \sum_{j \in J_u \setminus J_{u-c}} |j|.$$

Now note first that since  $J_{u-c} \subseteq g(J_u)$ , the number of elements in the set  $g(J_u) \setminus J_{u-c}$  is equal to the number of elements in  $J_u \setminus J_{u-c}$ , which is  $2c$ . Also, for any  $j \in g(J_u) \setminus J_{u-c}$  we have  $u - c < |j| \leq u + c$ , and for any  $j \in J_u \setminus J_{u-c}$ ,  $u - c < |j| \leq u$ . Hence (2.ix) implies

$$-2c^2 = 2c(u - c) - 2cu \leq B(u) \leq 2c(u + c) - 2c(u - c) = 4c^2.$$

$\square$

*End of the proof of Proposition 2.3.* Since  $B(N)$  is bounded by Lemma 2.7 and since  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \psi(N)$  vanishes, the equality (2.viii) gives  $F_n(g) = -\int_0^\infty B(t) d\psi(t)$ . After computing explicitly the derivative  $\psi'$ , this rewrites as

$$F_n(g) = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\infty B(t) \psi(t) dt - \int_0^\infty B(t) \frac{2 \exp(-2ne^{-t/n}) e^{-2t/n}}{(1 + \exp(-2ne^{-t/n}))^2} dt.$$

Using Lemma 2.7 and  $0 < \psi(t) \leq \exp(-ne^{-t/n})e^{-t/n}$ , the first integral is bounded by

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \int_0^\infty B(t) \psi(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} 4|g|_w^2 \int_0^\infty \exp(-ne^{-t/n}) e^{-t/n} dt = \frac{1}{n} 4|g|_w^2 (1 - e^{-n}),$$

which goes to zero. Similarly, the second integral is bounded by

$$\left| \int_0^\infty B(t) \frac{2 \exp(-2ne^{-t/n})}{(1 + \exp(-2ne^{-t/n}))^2} e^{-2t/n} dt \right| \leq 8|g|_w^2 \int_0^\infty \exp(-2ne^{-t/n}) e^{-2t/n} dt < \frac{2|g|_w^2}{n},$$

the last inequality having already been observed in the proof of Lemma 2.4.  $\square$

Taken together, Proposition 2.3 and Proposition 2.2 finish the proof of Theorem 2.1 since we already observed  $\|f_n|_{x_0=0}\|/\|f_n\| \rightarrow 1$ .

### 3. ACTIONS ON SETS OF FINITE SUBSETS

Let  $G$  be a group acting on a set  $X$ . The collection  $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$  of finite subsets of  $X$  is an abelian  $G$ -group for the operation  $\triangle$  of symmetric difference. The resulting semi-direct product  $\mathcal{P}_f(X) \rtimes G$ , which can be thought of as the “lamplighter” restricted wreath product associated to the  $G$ -action on  $X$ , has itself a natural “affine” action on  $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$ , where the latter set can be considered as the coset space  $(\mathcal{P}_f(X) \rtimes G)/G$ .

It will be convenient to identify the Pontryagin dual of the (discrete) group  $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$  with the generalised Bernoulli  $G$ -shift  $\{0, 1\}^X$ , the duality pairing being given for  $E \in \mathcal{P}_f(X)$  and  $\omega = \{\omega_x\}_{x \in X} \in \{0, 1\}^X$  by the character  $\exp(i\pi \sum_{x \in E} \omega_x) \in \{\pm 1\} \subseteq \mathbf{C}^*$ . The normalised Haar measure corresponds to the symmetric Bernoulli measure on  $\{0, 1\}^X$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Assume that  $G$  acts transitively on  $X$  and choose  $x_0 \in X$ . The following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) *There is a net  $\{f_n\}$  of  $G$ -almost invariant vectors in  $L^2(\{0, 1\}^X)$  such that the ratio  $\|f_n|_{\omega_{x_0}=0}\|/\|f_n\|$  converges to 1.*
- (ii) *The  $\mathcal{P}_f(X) \rtimes G$ -action on  $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$  admits an invariant mean.*
- (iii) *The  $G$ -action on  $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$  admits an invariant mean giving weight  $1/2$  to the collection of sets containing  $x_0$ .*
- (iv) *The  $G$ -action on  $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$  admits an invariant mean giving full weight to the collection of sets containing  $x_0$ .*

Again,  $f_n|_{\omega_{x_0}=0}$  denotes the function  $f_n$  multiplied by the characteristic function of the cylinder set describing the elementary event  $\omega_{x_0} = 0$ . The net  $\{f_n\}$  can of course be chosen to be a sequence when  $G$  (and hence  $X$ ) is countable.

*Proof of Lemma 3.1.* (i) $\implies$ (ii). The Fourier transform  $\widehat{f_n}$  provides  $G$ -almost invariant vectors in  $\ell^2(\mathcal{P}_f(X))$ . Moreover,  $\|f_n|_{\omega_{x_0}=0}\|$  is the norm of the image of  $\widehat{f_n}$  projected to the subspace of vectors in  $\ell^2(\mathcal{P}_f(X))$  that are invariant under  $\{x_0\}$  viewed as group element in  $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$ . Thus  $\widehat{f_n}$  is  $\{x_0\}$ -almost invariant. Since the  $G$ -action is transitive, it follows that  $\widehat{f_n}$  is  $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$ -almost invariant as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(ii) $\implies$ (iii). The condition on  $x_0$  follows from the invariance under  $\{x_0\}$ .

(iii) $\implies$ (iv). It suffices to show that for each  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  there are  $G$ -almost-invariant probability measures on  $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$  such that the collection of sets containing  $x_0$  has probability at least  $1 - 2^{-k}$ . By (iii), we have  $G$ -almost-invariant probability measures such that the collection

of sets containing  $x_0$  has probability  $1/2$ . Indeed, the classical proof of the “Reiter property” produces almost invariant probability measures as convex combinations of a net approximating an invariant mean in the weak-\* topology, and our restriction about  $x_0$  is preserved under convex combinations. If we take the union of  $k$  independently chosen such finite sets, we obtain a distribution as required.

(iv) $\implies$ (i). The assumption implies that there are  $G$ -almost-invariant probability measures  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$  such that the collection of sets containing  $x_0$  has probability 1, making the same observation about Reiter’s property as in (iii) $\implies$ (iv). We can assume that each  $\mu$  is supported on a collection of sets of fixed cardinal  $n(\mu) \in \mathbf{N}$ . We define a function  $f_\mu$  on  $\{0, 1\}^X$  as follows. Given  $E \in \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ , consider the cylinder set  $C_E \subseteq \{0, 1\}^X$  consisting of all  $\omega$  such that  $\omega_x = 0$  for all  $x \in E$ . We set  $f_\mu = 2^{n(\mu)} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{P}_f(X)} \mu(\{E\}) 1_{C_E}$ , where  $1_{C_E}$  is the characteristic function of  $C_E$ . Then  $f_\mu$  is supported on  $\{\omega_{x_0} = 0\}$ , has  $L^1$ -norm one and satisfies  $\|gf_\mu - f_\mu\|_1 \leq \|g\mu - \mu\|_1$  for all  $g \in G$ . Therefore, the function  $f_\mu^{1/2}$  is as required by (i) as  $\mu$  becomes increasingly invariant since  $\|gf_\mu^{1/2} - f_\mu^{1/2}\| \leq \|gf_\mu - f_\mu\|_1^{1/2}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem C.* The sequence  $\{f_n\}$  constructed in Section 2 satisfies the criterion (i) of Lemma 3.1 in view of Theorem 2.1. Therefore, the criterion (iv) provides the desired conclusion.  $\square$

The following is well-known.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $H$  be a group acting on a set  $Y$  with an invariant mean. If the stabiliser in  $H$  of every  $y \in Y$  is an amenable group, then  $H$  is amenable.*

*Proof.* The amenability of stabilisers implies that there is an  $H$ -map  $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(H)$  to the (convex compact) space  $\mathcal{M}(H)$  of means on  $H$  (by choosing for each  $H$ -orbit in  $Y$  the orbital map associated to a mean fixed by the corresponding stabiliser). The push-forward of an invariant mean on  $Y$  is an invariant mean on  $\mathcal{M}(H)$ . Its barycenter is an invariant mean on  $H$ . (An alternative argument giving explicit Følner sets can be found in the proof of Lemma 4.5 in [GM07].)  $\square$

The next proposition will leverage the fact that  $\mathbf{N}\Delta g(\mathbf{N})$  is finite for all  $g \in W(\mathbf{Z})$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $G < W(\mathbf{Z})$  be a subgroup such that the stabiliser in  $G$  of  $E\Delta\mathbf{N}$  is amenable whenever  $E \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbf{Z})$ . Then  $G$  is amenable.*

*Proof.* As noted in the proof of Theorem C, the  $W(\mathbf{Z})$ -action on  $\mathbf{Z}$  satisfies the equivalent conditions of Lemma 3.1 thanks to Theorem 2.1. In particular, there is a  $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbf{Z}) \rtimes G$ -invariant mean on  $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbf{Z})$ . Thus, in view of Lemma 3.2, it suffices to find an embedding  $\iota: G \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbf{Z}) \rtimes G$  in such a way that the stabiliser in  $\iota(G)$  of any finite set  $E$  is the stabiliser in  $G$  of  $E\Delta\mathbf{N}$ . The map defined by  $\iota(g) = (\mathbf{N}\Delta g(\mathbf{N}), g)$  has the required properties.  $\square$

#### 4. FROM CANTOR SYSTEMS TO PIECEWISE TRANSLATIONS

It is known that the stabiliser of a forward orbit in the topological full group of a minimal Cantor system is locally finite [GPS99]. The corresponding more general situation for the group  $W(\mathbf{Z})$  is described in the following two lemmas.

A subgroup  $G$  of  $W(\mathbf{Z})$  has the *ubiquitous pattern property* if for every finite set  $F \subseteq G$  and every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  there exists a constant  $k = k(n, F)$  such that for every  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$  there exists



$t \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $[t - n, t + n] \subseteq [j - k, j + k]$  and such that for every  $i \in [-n, n]$  and every  $g \in F$  we have  $g(i) = g(i + t)$ .

Informally: the partial action of  $F$  on  $[-n, n]$  can be found, suitably translated, within any interval of length  $2k + 1$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $G < W(\mathbf{Z})$  be a subgroup with the ubiquitous pattern property. Then the stabiliser of  $E\Delta\mathbf{N}$  in  $G$  is locally finite for every  $E \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbf{Z})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbf{Z})$  and  $F$  be a finite set of elements of the stabiliser of  $E\Delta\mathbf{N}$  in  $G$ . In order to prove that the set  $F$  generates a finite group it is sufficient to show that  $\mathbf{Z}$  is a disjoint union of finite sets  $B_i$  of uniformly bounded cardinality such that each of these sets is invariant under the action of  $F$ , since this will realize the group generated by  $F$  as a subgroup of a power of a finite group. We will achieve this by taking the  $B_i$  to be the ubiquitous translated copies of the “phase transition” region of  $E\Delta\mathbf{N}$ , suitably identifying the “top part” of  $E\Delta\mathbf{N}$  with the “bottom part” of the complement of the next translated copy.

Let  $c = \max\{|e| : e \in E\}$  (with  $c = 0$  if  $E = \emptyset$ ). Consider the interval  $[-c - 2m, c + 2m]$ , where  $m = \max\{|g|_w : g \in F\}$ . Let  $k = k(c + 2m, F)$  be the constant from the definition of the ubiquitous pattern property. Denote  $E_0 = E\Delta\mathbf{N} \cap [-c - 2m, c + 2m]$ . Consider  $\mathbf{Z}$  as disjoint union of consecutive intervals  $I_i$  ( $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ ) of length  $2k + 1$  such that  $[-c - 2m, c + 2m] \subseteq I_0$ . Then, by the ubiquitous pattern property, for each interval  $I_i$  there exists a set  $E_i \subseteq I_i$  (a translate of  $E_0$ ) such that the action of  $F$  on  $E_i$  coincides with the action of  $F$  on  $E_0$ . Let

$$B_i = \left( E_i \cup [\max(E_i) + 1, \max(E_{i+1})] \right) \setminus E_{i+1}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\mathbf{Z} = \bigsqcup B_i$  and that each  $B_i$  is  $F$ -invariant. Moreover, since  $B_i \subseteq I_i \cup I_{i+1}$ , we have  $|B_i| \leq 4k + 2$  for all  $i$ .  $\square$

Let  $T$  be a homeomorphism of a Cantor space  $C$  and choose a point  $p \in C$ . If  $T$  has no finite orbits, then we can define a map

$$\pi_p : [[T]] \longrightarrow W(\mathbf{Z})$$

by the requirement

$$g(T^j p) = T^{\pi_p(g)(j)} p, \quad (g \in [[T]], j \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

The map  $\pi_p$  is a group homomorphism and is injective if the orbit of  $p$  is dense.

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $T$  is minimal, then the image  $\pi_p([[T]])$  of the injective homomorphism  $\pi_p$  has the ubiquitous pattern property.*

*Proof.* For every  $g \in [[T]]$  the sets  $C_{g,i} = \{q : g(q) = T^i q\}$  define a clopen partition  $C = \bigsqcup_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} C_{g,i}$  with all but finitely many  $C_{g,i}$  empty. Suppose that the property fails. Then there is a finite set  $F \subseteq [[T]]$ , an integer  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and a sequence  $\{j_k\}_{k \in \mathbf{N}}$  in  $\mathbf{Z}$  such that the none of the intervals  $[j_k - k, j_k + k]$  contains any translated copy of the partial action of  $\pi_p(F)$  on  $[-n, n]$ . Rephrased in  $C$ , this means the following. For every  $t$  with  $[t - n, t + n] \subseteq [j_k - k, j_k + k]$ , there is  $g \in F$  such that the partition of  $[t - n, t + n]$  induced by intersecting the  $C_{g,i}$  with  $\{T^r p : r \in [t - n, t + n]\}$  is different from the partition that they induce on  $[-n, n]$ .

Consider now the set  $M_k$  of all points  $q \in C$  such that for every  $t$  with  $[t - n, t + n] \subseteq [-k, k]$  there is  $g \in F$  such that the partition of  $[t - n, t + n]$  induced by intersecting the  $C_{g,i}$  with  $\{T^r q : r \in [t - n, t + n]\}$  is different from the partition induced on  $[-n, n]$ . The set  $M_k$  is non-empty because, in view of the previous observation, it contains  $q = T^{j_k} p$ . On the other hand, the successive  $M_k$  form a decreasing sequence of closed subsets of  $C$ . Therefore, the

intersection of all  $M_k$  is a non-empty closed set. It is invariant by construction, but does not contain  $p$  since  $p \notin M_k$  as soon as  $k \geq n$ . This contradicts the minimality.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem A.* By Lemma 4.2, the (injective image of the) topological full group  $[[T]]$  has the ubiquitous pattern property. Therefore, Lemma 4.1 shows that the stabiliser of  $E \triangle \mathbf{N}$  in  $G$  is amenable for every  $E \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbf{Z})$ . Now Proposition 3.3 shows that  $[[T]]$  is amenable.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [EM] Gábor Elek and Nicolas Monod, *On the topological full group of a minimal cantor  $\mathbf{Z}^2$ -system*, preprint, to appear in Proc. AMS.
- [GM] Rostislav I. Grigorchuk and Konstantin Medynets, *Topological full groups are locally embeddable into finite groups*, Preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/1105.0719v3>.
- [GM07] Yair Glasner and Nicolas Monod, *Amenable actions, free products and a fixed point property*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **39** (2007), no. 1, 138–150.
- [GPS99] Thierry Giordano, Ian F. Putnam, and Christian F. Skau, *Full groups of Cantor minimal systems*, Israel J. Math. **111** (1999), 285–320.
- [Mat06] Hiroki Matui, *Some remarks on topological full groups of Cantor minimal systems*, Internat. J. Math. **17** (2006), no. 2, 231–251.
- [Sch27] Otto Schreier, *Die Untergruppen der freien Gruppen*, Abhandlungen Math. Hamburg **5** (1927), 161–183.
- [vD90] Eric K. van Douwen, *Measures invariant under actions of  $F_2$* , Topology Appl. **34** (1990), no. 1, 53–68.

EPFL, 1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND